

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,500	WOODWARD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Rebecca L. Anderson.

(3) _____.

 (2) Brent Johnson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 October 2006
Time: 12:24pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 n/a

Claims discussed:
 12, 16-18 and 20-23

Prior art documents discussed:
 n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

REBECCA ANDERSON
 PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to cancel withdrawn claims 16-18, 22 and 23. Additionally, applicants' representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to delete the term "comprising" from claims 20 and 21 and insert in its place "of the formula". In an additional interview on October 23, 2006 at 10:45am, it was agreed upon to amend claim 12 by inserting the phrase "1 to 3 substituents selected from the group consisting of" after the term "optionally".